

**DOE GNEP Detailed Siting Report
Portsmouth Reservation, Piketon, Ohio
Summary of Community Involvement Activities**

**Prepared for
US Department of Energy
Idaho Operations
Global Nuclear Energy Partnership Siting Studies
Award Number: DE-FG07-07ID14795**

**Prepared by
Piketon Initiative for Nuclear Independence (ePIFNI)
127 Public Square #2700
Cleveland, Ohio 44114**

May 1, 2007

Front Matter

Acknowledgement

This document is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy, Global Nuclear Energy Partnership, under Award Number DE-FG07-07ID14795.

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Piketon Initiative for Nuclear Independence (ePIFNI) as an account of work sponsored by the Department of Energy, an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions or authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Executive Summary

A Federal Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Grant was awarded to the Piketon Initiative for Nuclear Independence (ePIFNI) to complete a detailed siting study of the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP) initiative for the DOE Portsmouth Reservation located at Piketon, Ohio. ePIFNI is a privately owned for profit company. In order to maximize facilitation of stakeholder engagement and public input for the GNEP siting study, a partnership arrangement between ePIFNI and the Southern Ohio Diversification Initiative (SODI) was formed to create the Southern Ohio Nuclear Integration Cooperative, LLC (SONIC). SODI is the DOE designated Community Reuse Organization (CRO) for the DOE Portsmouth Reservation. For this reason, SONIC is referred to as the entity that executed the community involvement activities summarized in this report. As the recipient of the grant, ePIFNI was ultimately responsible for providing oversight, management, and coordination for the total stakeholder involvement process.

The SONIC communication strategy was developed to align with directions provided by the DOE and noted in the FOA grant provisions. SONIC implemented several important activities in response to this strategy that have produced positive results and responses from stakeholders. These activities included hosting three informal public meetings, publishing an informative SONIC website (www.safesonic.net), providing printed informational materials, and forming an effective network of community and regional outreach groups to assist with the facilitation of stakeholder involvement. These stakeholders are the residents, businesses, organizations, elected officials, and agencies in Pike, Scioto, Jackson, and Ross counties, and throughout the State of Ohio. These activities assured that stakeholders would be afforded opportunities to provide informed comments and feedback to DOE concerning the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) by June 4, 2007.

In response to SONIC's community involvement efforts, there is included with this report recent letters of support from key officials, groups, and organizations that requested their expressions of support be included with the Summary of Community Activities report. (See Appendix A, Key Stakeholder Letters of Support). These expressions serve as clear and important indicators for the overwhelming levels of support from the Governor of the State of Ohio, the State President of the AFL-CIO, the Deans of educational institutions, other elected officials, businesses, and economic leaders from the local counties of influence surrounding the Piketon Plant.

As a result of the activities that are described in this report, community awareness has been heightened, and communication channels are established to assure continuing stakeholder engagement throughout the remaining time period of the siting study and into the future, as final considerations are being developed about the GNEP initiative. Specific community organizations and groups are engaged regarding the discussion and input concerning the GNEP initiative. Several organizations, groups, and individuals have requested that members of SONIC/ePIFNI continue to meet with them to discuss the

GNEP initiative. A speakers bureau of SONIC/ePIFNI and community leaders was formed to address these requests. The following organizations were among those who have requested informational meetings: Community Improvement Corporation of Ross County, Port Authority of Scioto County, Jackson Chamber of Commerce, Ohio University Chillicothe, University of Cincinnati, Young Professional Network of Chillicothe, Kiwanis Club in Chillicothe, Rotary Clubs in Chillicothe and Piketon, and Bristol Village retirement community in Waverly. For this reason, ePIFNI would recommend that the SONIC and community interfaces already established within these communities continue to be supported and maintained to serve future needs for communications regarding the GNEP initiative.

The state of Ohio, region, and local communities enjoy a successful history of supporting nuclear energy operations at the Piketon site. Therefore, opportunities for reindustrialization of the DOE reservation align with the overall strategy for innovation and emerging technologies within the state. The Ohio Department of Development has published on their website (www.odod.state.oh.us/WhyOhio.htm) important information that further suggests advantages for all business ventures to seriously consider Ohio as a potential locality. The Department of Development further notes that “more than 90 incentive programs combine with pro-business policies to make Ohio an attractive home for large and small companies alike.” Ohio offers superb accessibility and a growing portfolio of initiatives to foster the growth of early-stage technology companies. Ranking third nationally in research, development and testing and seventh in patents issued, Ohio lives on the cutting-edge of progress.¹

¹ The Ohio Department of Development, <http://www.odod.state.oh.us/Regionals.htm>; internet accessed, April 24, 2007.

Table of Contents

	Front Matter	2
	Acknowledgement	2
	Disclaimer	2
	Executive Summary	3
	Table of Contents	5
1	Introduction	6
2	Scope and Purpose	7
3	Methods and Processes for Engaging Stakeholders	7
3.1	Community Outreach Groups (COGs)	7
3.2	Regional Outreach Group (ROG)	8
3.3	Informal Public Meetings	8
3.3.1	Informal Public Meeting in Piketon Ohio	9
3.3.2	Informal Public Meeting in Portsmouth Ohio	9
3.3.3	Informal Public Meeting in Chillicothe, Ohio	10
3.4	SONIC Website	10
3.5	Fact Sheets and Frequently Asked Questions Lists	11
3.6	Handouts, Brochures, and Reading Materials	11
4	Stakeholder Target Audience(s)	11
4.1	Media	12
5	Conclusions	12

Appendices:

A.	Key Stakeholder Support Letters	i
B.	March 20, 2007 Presentation	ii
C.	April 9, 2007 Presentation	iii
D.	April 10, 2007 Presentation	iv
E.	Fact Sheets	v
F.	Frequently Asked Questions	vi
G.	Handouts, Brochures, Reading Materials	vii
H.	News Articles, Ads, Releases, Newsletter	viii

1 Introduction

On behalf of the Southern Ohio Nuclear Integration Cooperative, LLC (SONIC), the Piketon Initiative for Nuclear Independence (ePIFNI) and its teammates, Southern Ohio Diversification Initiative (SODI), AREVA, Washington Group International, and the Battelle Memorial Institute, submit this report in fulfillment of its requirements under DOE Financial Assistance Agreement DE-FG07-07ID14795. In that award, the Department of Energy (DOE) directed ePIFNI to conduct activities in the following areas:

- Regulatory and Permitting: Identify local, regional, state and national regulatory and environmental permits required for this facility, including legislative or regulatory prohibitions that might prevent siting Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP) facilities at the Portsmouth Reservation, Piketon, Ohio,
- Identify the sites for the Consolidated Fuel Treatment Center (CFTC) and the Advanced Recycling Reactor, and
- Conduct up to three informal community-involvement meetings to inform state and local stakeholders of the purpose of the GNEP siting studies and obtain their opinions.

These three tasks were accomplished and the last of these tasks is documented in this report. The first two tasks are provided in a separate report.²

For over half of a century, the Piketon community and the surrounding counties have provided overwhelming support to the government's successful nuclear energy initiatives:

- Construction and operation of the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant
- Construction and start-up of the DOE Gaseous Centrifuge Enrichment Plant (GCEP)
- Environmental Restoration work performed by the DOE at the site
- Construction of the Uranium Disposition Services Uranium Conversion Facility
- United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) Advanced Centrifuge Project

In anticipation of being selected to perform a siting study, the SONIC organization, with representatives from SODI, ePIFNI, and the local community, toured the AREVA recycling facility in LaHague, France and an AREVA recycling reactor. The team was able to gain information about the technical process and regulatory structure, as well as to observe the interactions of the local communities and stakeholders with these facilities. The team observed highly regulated and safe facilities and neighboring communities that are extremely supportive of nuclear energy.

² DOE GNEP Detailed Site Report, Portsmouth Reservation, Piketon, Ohio, Affected Environment and Regulatory and Environmental Permitting/ Licensing Requirements. Award Number DE-FG07-07ID14795, Prepared by ePIFNI, May 1, 2007.

2 Scope and Purpose

This document describes the purpose, the methods and processes for engaging stakeholders, and the results of the community involvement activities related to the GNEP initiative evaluation of the Portsmouth Reservation (PORTS). The creation of the SONIC development group has provided a focal point for supporting the GNEP initiative. The activities of this group should continue, and this document provides a basis for recommending additional ongoing activities to ensure that the community maintains its understanding and interest in the GNEP program.

3 Methods and Processes for Engaging Stakeholders

SONIC implemented several major activities in implementing their communication strategy. These activities included hosting three informal public meetings, publishing a SONIC website, providing printed informational materials, and forming community and regional outreach groups to assist with the facilitation of stakeholder involvement of residents, businesses, organizations, elected officials, and agencies in Pike, Scioto, Jackson, and Ross counties, and throughout the State of Ohio. These activities were designed to:

1. Ensure information was adequately communicated to the public about the GNEP initiative, and about SONIC's role pertaining to the siting study
2. Gain involvement and input from key community stakeholders, who in turn could better share factual and important information within their respective communities and spheres of influence
3. Create an atmosphere for open and objective communication exchange that not only provided factual information, but also encouraged feedback, questions, and comments to be submitted to the Department of Energy as input to the DOE-Programmatic Environmental Impact Study.


3.1 Community Outreach Groups (COGs)

Gaining involvement and input from stakeholders included engaging those persons within the respective affected counties who in turn could share factual and important information within their local communities and spheres of influence concerning the GNEP initiative. Community Outreach Groups (COGs) were formed in each of the four counties surrounding the Piketon site: Pike, Scioto, Jackson, and Ross. The groups were individually chartered to utilize a team of community participants to facilitate clear, concise and accurate communication of information relative to the GNEP initiative. The initial focus of the COGs emphasized SONIC's participation in the detailed siting study and the DOE Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) commenting period, which concludes on June 4, 2007.

3.2 Regional Outreach Group (ROG)

A Regional Outreach Group (ROG) was formed to assure that communications and consistent opportunity for community involvement occurred throughout the regional four counties and throughout the state of Ohio. The ROG includes the chairpersons or representative of each of the respective county COGs.

Figure: Regional and Community Outreach Group Formation



3.3 Informal Public Meetings

The amendment to the FOA provided an opportunity to conduct up to three informal community involvement meetings as part of the GNEP FOA award. These meetings were “to inform the state and local stakeholders of the purpose of the GNEP siting studies and obtain their opinions.” SONIC hosted three informal public meetings to solicit opinions regarding the GNEP siting study at Piketon.

The following focus, goals, and presentation format were used for these meetings:

SCHEDULE OF INFORMAL PUBLIC MEETINGS			
Meeting Date/Location	Focus	Goals	Presentation and Subject Matter Support
<u>Meeting 1</u> March 20, 2007 Piketon (Pike County)	Introduced the SONIC team and explained its mission, goals and role in reindustrialization of PORTS. Explained GNEP study and potential siting.	1) To raise awareness, provide education, and receive feedback from stakeholders.	Both technical and program management expertise were used to present and discuss GNEP, and provide answers to questions/concerns. Appendix B: March 20, 2007 presentation Appendix C: April 9, 2007 presentation Appendix D: April 10, 2007 presentation
<u>Meeting 2</u> April 9, 2007 Portsmouth (Scioto County)		2) Provide information regarding the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP) initiative	
<u>Meeting 3</u> April 10, 2007 Chillicothe (Ross County)		3) Identify the process and provide instructions for public comment into the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS).	

3.3.1 Informal Public Meeting in Piketon Ohio

The public meeting of March 20 was held at the Piketon High School in Piketon, Ohio from 6 to 9 p.m. It was attended by seventy (70) people who signed an attendance sheet upon arrival. The facilitated meeting began with an overhead presentation of the GNEP initiative and the PEIS process (see Appendix B). The presenters were:

- Facilitator: (Tom Anderson, Battelle Memorial Institute)
- SONIC's Role (Greg Simonton, SODI)
- Overview of GNEP and Siting Study (Jim Morgan, SONIC)
- Status of GNEP Siting Study (Steve Moore, Wastren Adv.)
- Technical Overview (Dorothy Davidson, AREVA)

After a brief recess, the meeting resumed with a question and answer session. Twenty – one (21) written questions were submitted to a panel of subject matter experts for verbal response. Panelists were: (Facilitator: Tom Anderson, Greg Simonton, Jim Morgan, Steve Moore, and Dorothy Davidson). The meeting closed with providing contact information to stakeholders for submitting their comments regarding the GNEP-PEIS to DOE, and other related questions to SONIC. Presentations, questions and answers, as well as information pertaining to the meeting and the GNEP initiative, were also published to the SONIC website at www.safesonic.net.

3.3.2 Informal Public Meeting in Portsmouth Ohio

The public meeting of April 9 was held at the Plumbers and Pipefitters Union Hall in Portsmouth, Ohio from 6 to 9 p.m. It was attended by one-hundred and sixty-eight (168) people who signed an attendance sheet upon arrival. The facilitated meeting began with an overhead presentation of the GNEP initiative and the PEIS process (see Appendix C). The presenters were:

- Introduction/Welcome (Bob Walton, SODI, Scioto County Community Action)
- SONIC's Role (Jim Morgan, SONIC Program Manager)
- Overview of GNEP and Siting Study (Jim Morgan, SONIC)
- Status of GNEP Siting Study (Steve Moore, Wastren Advantage)
- Technical Overview (Dan Kimball, AREVA)
- Review of LaHague AREVA Plant Visit (Jim Carver, Shawnee Labor Council)

After a brief recess, the meeting resumed with a question and answer session. Thirty-two (32) written questions were submitted to a panel of subject matter experts for verbal response. Panelists were: (Facilitator: Tom Anderson, Greg Simonton, Jim Morgan, Steve Moore, and Dan Kimball). The meeting closed with providing contact information to stakeholders for submitting their comments regarding the GNEP-PEIS to DOE, and other related questions to SONIC. Presentations, questions and answers, as well as information

pertaining to the meeting and the GNEP initiative, were also published to the SONIC website at www.safesonic.net.

3.3.3 Informal Public Meeting in Chillicothe, Ohio

The public meeting of April 10 was held at Ohio University-Chillicothe, Shoemaker Center in Chillicothe, Ohio from 6 to 9 p.m. It was attended by thirty-seven (37) people who signed an attendance sheet upon arrival. The facilitated meeting began with an overhead presentation of the GNEP initiative and PEIS process (see Appendix D). The presenters were:

- Introduction/Welcome (Matt Allen, SODI, Chief of Staff to Mayor Joe Sulzer of Chillicothe, Ohio)
- Overview of SONIC, GNEP and Siting Study (Jim Morgan, SONIC)
- Status of GNEP Siting Study (Steve Moore, Wastren Adv.)
- Technical Overview (Dan Kimball, AREVA)
- Review of LaHague AREVA Plant Visit (Dan Moore, President of SONIC)

After a brief recess, the meeting resumed with a question and answer session. Forty-two (42) written questions were submitted to a panel of subject matter experts for verbal response. Panelists were: Tom Anderson (Facilitator, Battelle Memorial Institute), Greg Simonton, Jim Morgan, Dan Moore, Steve Moore, and Dan Kimball). The meeting closed with providing contact information to stakeholders for submitting their comments regarding the GNEP-PEIS to DOE, and other related questions to SONIC. Presentations, questions and answers, as well as information pertaining to the meeting and GNEP initiative were also published to the SONIC website at www.safesonic.net.

3.4 SONIC Website

SONIC has developed a website that links to the DOE-GNEP website, the SODI website, and other pertinent internet sites to provide information that is considered useful in communicating and informing all concerned parties about the GNEP siting study and SONIC's role in the study. The SONIC website is being used to receive questions and comments, email, and to provide updates for those who would like to read about SONIC and GNEP on-line. Information from all three informal public meetings, including videos of the presentations and question and answer segments, are streamed for visitor viewing. As of the date of this report submission, there were more than 450 visitors to the SONIC website at www.safesonic.net.

3.5 Fact Sheets and Frequently Asked Questions Lists

The preparation and management of SONIC fact sheets and frequently asked question (FAQ) listings for communications to the public and specific stakeholders were prepared and used at public meetings, the website, and community meetings. Technical input pertaining to preparation of Fact Sheets (see Appendix E.) and FAQs (Appendix F.) was coordinated through the AREVA group and other technical and subject matter experts associated with the SONIC detailed siting study.

3.6 Handouts, Brochures, and Reading Materials

Handouts were prepared for public information and meetings pertaining to the development of a SONIC brochure, a SONIC Newsletter and public meeting announcements. (see Appendix G.)

4 Stakeholder Target Audience(s)

SONIC placed concentrated effort upon identifying and communicating effectively about the GNEP siting study with the target audience of stakeholders, and informing them on providing comments and input to DOE regarding the PEIS. The key stakeholder groups included the state of Ohio and local residents of all four counties; with Pike County being the closest residential neighboring community within a 50 mile radius of the DOE reservation at Piketon.

The community involvement activities described in this summary identified five categories of key stakeholder target audiences that were engaged throughout this reporting period of community outreach and involvement. These categories included:

- Government and Elected Officials
- Business and Industry
- Educational Institutions
- Labor
- Civic Organizations

In response to SONIC's community involvement activities, there has been an outpouring of expressed support, questions, and comments that are either being submitted directly to DOE, or to SONIC for forwarding to the DOE. Many organizations requested that their letters or comments be submitted along with this summary report.

There are 84 letters attached to Appendix A. Key Stakeholder Letters of Support, of more recent expressions in favor of the GNEP initiative under study for the Piketon site. These are from government officials, including the Governor of the State of Ohio, and several

congressional and senatorial representatives at the national and state levels. Also included are letters from local, county, township, and city elected officials. Information shared and exchanged with business and industry resulted into SONIC receiving comments and letters of support from various economic development councils and groups, Chambers of Commerce, Port Authorities, Community Improvement Corporations, and a number of business leaders in operations, services, retail, and manufacturing within the surrounding region and state of Ohio.

Additionally, a letter of support for GNEP is included from the state president of AFL-CIO, which represents 1600 unions across the state of Ohio, with a total membership of 650,000 of various trades and work classifications. There are many letters from labor councils and individual local union chapters.

Letters are attached from various universities and public school districts, as well as support letters from several civic organizations and groups that represent portions of the population surrounding the site. These organizations include the NAACP, Community Action Agencies, Inner City Development Corporations, and several Churches throughout the region. SONIC is also forwarding to DOE under separate cover more than 1500 letters that were received from local residents and individual members of groups within the state and regional area.

4.1 Media

The overall key message of “clean, safe, secure” was presented throughout various media outlets. These types of strategies and key messages were woven throughout entire communication techniques of oral presentations to stakeholders, one-on-one media interviews, press releases prepared by SONIC and information placed on the SONIC website. A link to Newsroom was placed on the SONIC website to help media locate information related to their informational needs. Personal contact was also made to various media outlets (radio, print, television) as needed throughout the study. SONIC also prepared special place announcements for the counties of Pike, Ross, Scioto and Jackson with respect to announcing the public meetings. Media packages were distributed to members of the media attending the public meetings. Information included in the media packages were brochures, a list of acronyms, a glossary, and a print copy of the presentation used. In addition, a four-page newsletter prepared to summarize GNEP and SONIC’s role in the siting study was distributed through 11 local print media in six counties. (See appendix H. News Articles, Adds, Releases, Newsletter)

5 Conclusions

The community involvement activities and efforts summarized in this report required a substantial effort from the SONIC organization to inform the public and provide an opportunity for the stakeholders locally, regionally, and statewide to provide input to the

DOE within the comment period. This was successfully accomplished in accordance with the FOA grant provision. As a result of SONIC's efforts, there is a heightened awareness and considerable expressions of support for the GNEP initiative in general and specifically pertaining to the Portsmouth plant located at Piketon.

Going beyond the comment period, and entering the phase of continued evaluation and determination by the DOE, the community of key stakeholders within the region of influence and throughout the state of Ohio will continue to need sources to refer to for information, update, and on-going discussion. SONIC would like to submit the recommendation with this report for continuing its efforts regarding community involvement beyond the existing comment closure date of June 4, 2007; and is requesting that remaining unused FOA grant funds be utilized to support additional requests for informing and engaging the public to maintain a continuity of community involvement. This would require:

- a. Management of the published SONIC website at: www.safesonic.net
- b. Availability of Brochures and Handouts as needed and requested by stakeholders
- c. Provisions of speakers who can address technical needs for information
- d. Media support and response for information
- e. Continuing SONIC liaison support for the established Community and Regional Outreach groups that were formed in each of the counties within the region of influence.

Appendix A

Key Stakeholder Support Letters

Appendix B

March 20, 2007 Presentation

Appendix C

April 9, 2007 Presentation

Appendix D

April 10, 2007 Presentation

Appendix E Fact Sheets

Appendix F

Frequently Asked Questions

Appendix G

Handouts, Brochures, Reading Materials

Appendix H

News Articles, Ads, Releases, Newsletters

Appendix A. Stakeholder Letters of Support

Government and Elected Officials

Position	Name	State Level	County Level	TWP Level	City Level
US Congress	Jean Schmidt, Rep. 2 nd District				
US Congress	David Hobson				
Governor	Ted Strickland	Ohio			
State Representative (s) (combined letter)	David T. Daniels	District 86 (Ohio)			
	Clyde Evans	District 87 (Ohio)			
	John M. Schlichter	District 85 (Ohio)			
	Todd Book	District 89 (Ohio)			
State Senate	John Carey	District 17 (Ohio)			
County Commissioners (Resolution)	T. West, J. Harbert, H.Rider		Pike		
County Commissioners	J. Caldwell, F. Hirsh, R.D. Cochoran,		Ross		
County Commissioners (Resolution)	V.Riffe, T.Reiser, M.Crabtree		Scioto		
County Commissioners	R. McNelly, E.Armstrong, T. Watson		Jackson		
County Commissioners(Resolution)	M.Rector, R.Graves, G. Heaton		Highland		
Ross County Recorder	Kathy Dunn		Ross		
Trustees	Lewie Prichett,			Scioto	
Mayor	Billy Spencer				Piketon
Mayor	Joe Sulzer				Chillicothe
Mayor	Shane Goodman				Jackson
Mayor	Dale Reed				Waverly

Business and Industry

Position	Name	State Level	County Level	TWP Level	City Level
Chamber of Commerce	Randy Heath, Ex. Director		Jackson		
Chamber of Commerce	Dave Boyer, President		Pike		
Chamber of Commerce	Ed Behanna, Chair, Brd. Of Directors		Ross		
Chamber of Commerce	Lisa Carver, Administrsator		Portsmouth		
OSU Endeavor Center	Jerry Driggs		Piketon, Ohio		
Adena Regional Health System	Mark Shuter, President&CEO				Chillicothe, Ohio
The Schoenbrunn Inn	Jennifer Ater, Accountant				New Philadelphia, Ohio
Christopher Conference Center	Brenda Snyder				Chillicothe, Ohio
Edison Welding Institute (EWI)	Matt White, Gov.Bus. Dev. Mgr.				Columbus, Ohio
Comfort Inn of Chillicothe	Lisa Wright, General Mgr.				Chillicothe, Ohio
Dakota's Roadhouse	Pam Bowers				Chillicothe, Ohio
C.P. Management Company, Inc.	Pat McCallister, Owner				Chillicothe, Ohio
Community Benefits Insurance	Ralph Williamson, President				Piketon
Innovative Solutions	Frank Barbarits, President/CEO				Minford/Piketon
J&H Reinforcing & Structural Erectors	Don Hadsell, President				Portsmouth, Ohio
Rumpke Beech Hollow Landfill	Bruce Downard, District Manager				Wellston, Ohio
Value Added Business Services	Graig Lund, President				Columbus/Piketon
Litter Propane Corp., President	Robert W. Litter, President				Chillicothe
Scioto County Economic Development	Steven Carter, Ex. Director		Scioto		
Southern Ohio Growth Partnership	Robert Huff				Portsmouth, Ohio
Studio Blue, Executive Director	Donnie Locke				Piketon, Ohio
Theta Pro2Serve Mgmt. Company	C.W. Sheward, President				Piketon, Ohio
Southern Port Authority of Ohio	Michael Sturgill, Chairman				Portsmouth, Ohio
HR Twenty Four	Cynthia Davis, VP/COO		Ross		

The Community Common News Paper	William Warnock, Publisher				Portsmouth, Ohio
C.Patrick McCallister Real Estate Appraisers, Inc.	C.Patrick McCallister				Chillicothe, Ohio
Pepsi-Cola Bottling of Portsmouth	Henry Trapp, Div. Sales Mgr.				Portsmouth
Jackson County Economic Development Board	Kara Willis, Director		Jackson		
Economic Development Alliance of Southern Ohio	Chris Manegold		Ross		Chillicothe
Board of Economic Development Alliance of Southern Ohio	Allen Rupiper, Chairman		Ross		
Community Improvement Corporation	Don Russell, Board President				Chillicothe
Labor					
Position	Name	State Level	County Level	TWP Level	City Level
Ohio AFL-CIO	William Burga, President	Ohio			
Plumbers and Pipefitters Union (577)	Kenny Ruggles, Bus.Mgr.				Portsmouth, Ohio
IBEW (# 575)	Lonnie Erwin				Portsmouth, Ohio
Ohio Assoc. of Public School Employees	Joseph Rugola, Ex.Dir.	Ohio			
Shawnee District Labor Council	Kenny Ruggles, President				Portsmouth, Ohio
Laborers' Internation Union (83)	Gary Coleman, Bus.Mgr.				Portsmouth, Ohio
Security, Police, Fire Professionals of America	Jon Gahm, President				Piketon, Ohio
Tri-County Regional Labor Council AFL-CIO	John Wagner, Ex. Sec/Sec.				Akron, Ohio
Ross/Highland Central Labor Council AFL-CIO	Joseph Cryster, President		Ross/Highland		
Graziers Local Union#372	Paul Robinson, President				Columbus, Ohio
Painters Local Union # 356	Joseph Cryster, Business Rep.				Columbus, Ohio
Painters Local Union # 1275	David Crisp, President				Columbus, Ohio
Painters Local Union # 555	Joseph Cryster, Business Rep.				Portsmouth, Ohio
Educational Institutions					
Position	Name	State Level	County Level	TWP Level	City Level
Ohio University, Southern Campus	Dan Evans, Dean				Ironton, Ohio
The Ohio State University	Richard Denning, Chair Nuclear Eng. Graduate Studies Program				Columbus, Ohio
The University of Cincinnati	John Christianson, Dir. Nuc.&Rad. Engineering				Cincinnati, Ohio
Ross-Pike County Educational Service Dist.	Phillip Satterfield		Pike/Ross		
Minford Local Schools	Dennis Meade				Minford, Ohio
Vern Riffe Career Center	Steve Martin, superintendent		Pike		
Piketon High School	Steve McCann, Principal				Piketon, Ohio
Bloom-Vernon Local School District	Rick Carrington				South Webster, Ohio
Civic Organizations					
Position	Name	State Level	County Level	TWP Level	City Level
Community Action of Pike County	Ray Roberts, Ex. Director		Pike		
Community Action of Scioto County	Robert Walton, Ex. Director		Scioto		
Community Action of Ross County	B. Wayne McLaughlin, Ex.Director		Ross		
Community Action of Ross County	Donald Foster, Board President		Ross		
Ohio Valley Regional Develop'nt Commission	Dale Reynolds, Vice Chairman		Pike		
Community Development	Jennifer Chandler		Pike		
Community Development	Kara Willis		Jackson		
Planning Commission	Gary Arnett		Pike		
Portsmouth Inner City Development Corp.	Maxine Malone				Portsmouth, Ohio
Portsmouth Inner City Development Corp.	Eugene Collins, President				Portsmouth, Ohio

Sedan Baptist Church	Rev.Charles Wiltshire, Pastor				Lucasville, Ohio
Quinn Chapel AME Church	Rev.Melonie Valentine, Pastor				Ironton,Ohio
Catholic Social Services	Barbara McKenzie, Director				Portsmouth, Ohio
Black Improvement Corporation	Robert Robinson, President				Chillicothe, Ohio
NAACP	Robert Robinson, President		Ross		
Floral Baptist Church	Steve Ragland, Pastor				Massieville, Ohio